arXiv:hep-th/0410005v1 1 Oct 2004

CERN-PH-TH/2004-191
IFIC/04-51
FTUV-04/0929

Generalized dimensional reduction of supergravity with eight supercharges

L. Andrianopoli$^\flat$, S. Ferrara$^{\flat,\sharp}$ and M. A. Lledó$^\natural$.

$^\flat$ *Department of Physics, Theory Division*
CERN, CH 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.
e-mail: Laura.Andrianopoli@cern.ch, Sergio.Ferrara@cern.ch

$^\sharp$*INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy.*

$^\natural$ *Departament de Física Teòrica, Universitat de València and IFIC*
C/Dr. Moliner, 50, E-46100 Burjassot (València), Spain.
e-mail: Maria.Lledo@ific.uv.es

Abstract

We describe some recent investigation about the structure of generic $D = 4, 5$ theories obtained by generalized dimensional reduction of $D = 5, 6$ theories with eight supercharges. We relate the Scherk–Schwarz reduction to a special class of $N = 2$ no-scale gauged supergravities.

Contribution to the proceedings of "NathFest" at PASCOS conference, Northeastern University, Boston, Ma, August 2004

1 Introduction

We report here on massive deformations of theories with local supersymmetry with eight supercharges, obtained by generalized dimensional reduction from higher dimensional theories [1].

The case with eight supercharges is of particular interest because such theories have a rich structure of multiplets and interactions, although the extended supersymmetry considerably limits their geometric structure.

The investigation covers ungauged supergravities in $D = 6, 5$ compactified with Scherk–Schwarz (SS) phases (duality twists) to $D = 5, 4$ respectively.

The SS mechanism [2] relies on the presence of a global symmetry group in the higher dimensional theory.

To obtain a theory with eight supercharges in lower dimensions we could start with a higher dimensional theory with higher supersymmetry and then reduce it by acting, for example, with some discrete $\mathbb{Z}^p$ symmetry on a torus (orientifold). Here we limit ourselves to the simpler possibility of starting with a theory with eight supercharges, and this restricts the investigation to $D = 6$ and 5 ungauged higher dimensional theories, to get theories with massive deformations in $D \geq 4$.

The global symmetries must act on the fields of the theory which then acquire mass terms upon generalized dimensional reduction on S^1. these symmetries always exist for $D = 5, 6$ supergravities with $N > 2$ local supersymmetry, but they do not generally exist for $N = 2$. We must therefore require that the scalar manifolds of the corresponding multiplets (vector- and hyper-multiplets for $D = 5$, tensor- and hyper-multiplets for $D = 6$) have some isometries. The only exception to this possibility is the case without hypermultiplets where a mass deformation corresponding to a Fayet-Iliopoulos term, with no contribution to the scalar potential, is also possible.

The scalar manifold in a $D = 5$ theory with n_v vector multiplets and n_h hypermultiplets is of the type $\mathcal{M}_{RS} \times \mathcal{M}_Q$. Here $\mathcal{M}_{RS}$, the manifold spanned by the scalars in the vector multiplets, is a real manifold with certain restrictions on its geometry, described by “real special” geometry [3], while $\mathcal{M}_Q$, the manifold spanned by the scalars in the hypermultiplets, is a quaternionic manifold of dimension n_h (real dimension $4n_h$) [4].

For the $D = 6$ $(2, 0)$ chiral theories with eight supercharges the scalar manifold is $\frac{\mathrm{SO}(1,n_T)}{\mathrm{SO}(n_T)} \times \mathcal{M}_Q$ where n_T denotes the number of tensor multiplets [5] and, as before, $\mathcal{M}_Q$ is an n_h-dimensional quaternionic manifold. The number n_V of vector multiplets is linked to n_T, n_h by an anomaly cancellation requirement

$$n_h - n_V + 29 n_T = 273.$$

In this case the SS phase may act on both types of manifolds, as well as on different multiplets of the theory, depending on the nature of the global symmetry.

Following Scherk and Schwarz, we are going to consider in the following only *flat groups* obtained by SS reduction of a $D = 5, 6$ theory, thus getting, in $D = 4, 5$, a semi-positive definite scalar potential which generally has some flat directions, irrespectively if supersymmetry is broken or not. Therefore the SS reduction always defines no-scale models [6], which were first considered, in the context of $N = 1$ supergravity, in the early 80's. No-scale models also appear in warped superstring compactifications where "internal" fluxes are turned on. For a review, see [7].

At the supergravity level the difference between Scherk–Schwarz and flux compactifications mainly resides in the fact that, when supersymmetry is broken, massive multiplets are BPS saturated in the former case, while they are long in the latter.

The BPS U(1) central charge is gauged by the graviphoton which, together with the other matter gauge fields, defines a *non-abelian* gauge algebra corresponding to a *flat group*.

We find [1] that the structure of the flat group gauged in $D = 4$ ($D = 5$) is universal, in the sense that its structure is common to all $N = 2$ models. It is of the form U(1)Ⓢ $\mathbb{R}^{n_v+1}$, where n_v is the total number of $D = 5$ ($D = 6$) vector multiplets, and in the general case the U(1) symmetry, which is gauged by the four (five) dimensional graviphoton B_μ, [1] acts on both the real manifold as well as the quaternionic manifold.

If the U(1) has a component on the SU(2) R-symmetry of the $D = 5$ ($D = 6$) theory, then supersymmetry is broken [8]. When the quaternionic manifold is involved in the gauging, this is realized when the pull-back on space-time of the SU(2) connection $\omega_A{}^B$ is non vanishing, with in particular

$$\omega_{D}{}_A{}^B \neq 0\,; \qquad (A, B = 1, 2); \quad D = 5, 6.$$

In absence of hypermultiplets, for supersymmetry to be broken the U(1) must have a component on the global SU(2) R-symmetry of the $D = 5, 6$ theory, and this originates a $N = 2$ Fayet–Iliopoulos term in lower dimensions [9].

---

[1] By graviphoton we call the $(D-1)$-dimensional vector coming from the D-dimensional metric. This is not the same as the vector partner of the metric in the $N = 2$, $D = 4, 5$ gravity supermultiplet.

On the other hand, if no SS phase is introduced in the real-special manifold, then the U(1) has no component on the vector multiplet (tensor multiplets) directions and the flat group is abelian, with all the vectors remaining massless.

In the general case, the U(1) charge has components on the isometries of both special and quaternionic manifolds.

We denote by $\Gamma^{ab} = -\Gamma^{ba}$ $(a, b = 1, \cdots n_v)$ and $\Delta^{\alpha\beta} = \Delta^{\beta\alpha}$ $(\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots 2n_h)$ respectively the SO(n_v) (SO(n_T)) spin-connection one form on the real-special manifold and the USp($2n_h$) symplectic connection one-form on the quaternionic manifold. For a Higgs mechanism to take place the following conditions for the pull-back on 5D (6D) space-time of these connections must be met

$$\Gamma_D{}^{ab} \neq 0\,, \quad \Delta_D{}^{\alpha\beta} \neq 0\,.$$

Note that, if $\omega_D{}_A^B = 0$, then supersymmetry remains unbroken and a pure supersymmetric Higgs mechanism occurs. Then massive BPS multiplets are generated for both vector- and hypermultiplets in four dimensions.

In section 2 we discuss the SS reduction of $D = 5$ and $D = 6$ theories with eight supercharges. In Section 3 we then give the gauged supergravity interpretation of the reduction.

2 On the Scherk–Schwarz reduction of the $N = 2$ lagrangian from D to $D - 1$

2.1 General facts

The generalized Scherk–Schwarz compactification on S^1 corresponds to impose on the fields boundary conditions (duality twists) of the type

$$\phi(x, y + 2\pi R) = U(y)\phi(x, y), \tag{1}$$

where y denotes the D-th direction on the circle of radius R, and U is a rigid symmetry of the D dimensional theory.

For continuous symmetries $U = exp[My]$ where the SS phase M is taken in the compact Cartan subalgebra of the global symmetry group of the D dimensional symmetry.

It is easy to show that the effect of the SS phase is to generate a scalar potential and bilinear fermionic generalized mass terms in the reduced theory, coming merely from terms where a space-time derivative occurs.

Indeed, from a non-linear σ-model interaction

$$g_{ij}(\phi)\partial_\mu\phi^i\partial_\nu\phi^j g^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-g} \tag{2}$$

in D dimensions, we retrieve in $D-1$ the scalar potential

$$V_{D-1}(\phi) = e^{-\frac{2(D-2)}{D-3}\sigma} g_{ij}(\phi) M^i{}_l\phi^l M^j{}_k\phi^k\sqrt{-g_{D-1}} \tag{3}$$

where $e^\sigma = \sqrt{g_{DD}}$ and $M^i{}_l = -M_l{}^i$ is a compact Cartan generator of the global symmetry. The extrema of the potential are found for

$$\partial_D\phi^i = M^i{}_l\phi^l = 0 \tag{4}$$

From (4) it then follows that the scalars which are not fixed correspond to the vanishing eigenvalues of the matrix M. This (together with the σ direction) is the residual moduli space of SS compactifications at the classical level.

2.2 SS reduction of the $D = 5, 6$ $N = 2$ lagrangians

The basic quantities of the $D = 5, 6$ lagrangians which become relevant in the discussion of the SS reduction are the kinetic terms for the scalars and for the spin $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ fields, which are related to the scalar potential and the fermionic mass terms of the $D = 4, 5$ dimensionally reduced theory.

These kinetic terms contain, in the fermionic covariant derivatives, the real geometry spin-connection [10], as well as the symplectic USp($2n_h$) and SU(2) connections of the quaternionic manifold [4].

Upon SS reduction, the pull-back on space-time of such one-form connections contribute the terms

$$\Delta_{D\alpha\beta}\,; \quad \omega_{DAB}\,; \quad \Gamma_D{}^{ab} \qquad\qquad (\omega_{DAB} = \omega_{DA}{}^C\epsilon_{BC})$$

which will determine the quadratic mass terms of the fermions.

Similarly, the $D = 4, 5$ scalar potential is [8]

$$V_{D-1}(\sigma,\varphi,q) = e^{-2\frac{D-2}{D-3}\sigma}\left[\frac{1}{2}P^a_D(\varphi)P_{Da}(\varphi) + \mathcal{U}^{\alpha A}_D(q)\,\mathcal{U}^{\beta B}_D(q)\mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{AB}\right] \tag{5}$$

where $P^a_D(\varphi) = P^a_i \partial_D \varphi^i$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\alpha A}_D(q) = \mathcal{U}^{\alpha A}_u \partial_D q^u$ denote the D-th components of the pull-back on space-time of the scalar vielbeins of the theory in $D = 5, 6$. $\mathcal{U}^{\alpha A}_u$ is the vielbein of the quaternionic manifold (with $i = a = 1, \cdots n_v$; $A = 1, 2$; $\alpha = 1, \cdots 2n_h$ and $u = 1, \cdots 4n_h$). P^a_i for $D = 5$ is the vielbein of the real-special manifold while for $D = 6$ is the vielbein of the symmetric space $\frac{\mathrm{SO}(1,n_T)}{\mathrm{SO}(n_T)}$.

It is obvious from (5) that the potential V is positive definite and it has an extremum at the points for which

$$P^a_D(\varphi) = \mathcal{U}^{\alpha A}_D(q) = 0. \tag{6}$$

These are the vacua of the theory.

The equation $P^a_D(\varphi) = 0$ can be further specified for D=5 as follows. Let us call $t^I(\varphi)$ $(I = 1, \cdots n_v + 1)$ the $D = 5$ special coordinates, subject to the constraint [3]

$$t^I t^J t^K d_{IJK} = 1. \tag{7}$$

They form a representation of the full duality group of the $D = 5$ theory.

Then the potential can be computed from the real-special geometry relations giving

$$-\frac{3}{2} e^{-3\sigma} \partial_5 t^I \partial_5 t^J t^K d_{IJK} \tag{8}$$

where

$$\partial_5 t^I(\varphi) = t^I_{,i} \partial_5 \varphi^i = t^I_{,i} M^i_j \varphi^j. \tag{9}$$

3 Interpretation as gauged $N = 2$ supergravity in $D - 1$ dimensions

In the $D = 4, 5$ framework, mass terms and scalar potential arise from the gauging procedure. This was fully exploited for the $N = 8$ case in [11, 12], and for the $N = 2$ case in [1]. Extended supergravities were further considered from this point of view in [13, 14, 15].

In the 4D case, the vectors are B_μ (the Kaluza–Klein graviphoton) and $Z^I_\mu = A^I_\mu - A^I_5 B_\mu$. This in particular shows that the five-dimensional graviphoton belongs, together with $\phi_5 = \sqrt{g_{55}}$, to an additional vector multiplet in four dimensions, while the $D = 4$ graviphoton comes from the Kaluza–Klein vector, corresponding to the decomposition of the five-dimensional spacetime vielbein as

$$\left(\hat{V}^a_\mu = e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} V^a_\mu; \quad \hat{V}^5_\mu = e^\sigma B_\mu; \quad \hat{V}^5_5 = e^\sigma\right). \tag{10}$$

This is merely due to the choice of $D = 4$ special coordinates X^Λ which set X^0 to correspond to B_μ. This is not the same as the "free" supermultiplet assignment.

The very-special manifold $\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{C}$ in $D = 4$ has $\mathbb{R}^{n_v+1}$ isometries (corresponding to the A^I_5 shift invariance of the lagrangian)

$$\delta A^I_5 = r^I\,, \qquad I = 1, \cdots n_v + 1. \tag{11}$$

They act on the $n_v + 2$ vectors in $D = 4$ as follows

$$\delta Z^I_\mu = -r^I B_\mu\,; \quad \delta B_\mu = 0. \tag{12}$$

Let us now consider B_μ to gauge a U(1) group belonging to the maximal compact subgroup of the isometry group of the very-special manifold, and in particular let us take it in its Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$.

If t^I is a representation of $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$, then we may consider the following flat group

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left[t^I, t^0\right] & = & M^I_J t^J \\ \left[t^I, t^J\right] & = & 0 \end{array} \tag{13}$$

that is, by setting $t^\Lambda = (t^0, t^I)$,

$$\left[t^\Lambda, t^\Sigma\right] = f^{\Lambda\Sigma}{}_\Delta t^\Delta\,, \qquad \Lambda = (0, I) \tag{14}$$

with $f^{I0}{}_J = M^I_J$, the others vanishing. The U(1) isometry gauged by the B_μ gauge field may have components both on the very-special manifold and on the quaternionic manifold, that is [2]

$$t^0 = I^{SG} + I^Q_{\mathrm{USp}(2n_h)} + I^Q_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}.$$

The charges corresponding to gauging some special geometry isometries I^{SG} are given by the SS phase M^I_J, while the ones corresponding to gauging quaternionic isometries I^Q are labeled by a matrix $M^u_{\ v}$ [1].

---

[2] By $I^Q_{\mathrm{USp}(2n_h)}$ and $I^Q_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$ we mean the SS phase with flattened indices [8].

The gauge transformation of the z^I coordinates is holomorphic and has the form

$$\delta z^I = M^I_J \left(z^J \xi^0 - \xi^J \right) \tag{15}$$

Of course, as the gauge parameters ξ^Λ are real, the non-homogeneous part only affects $\Re z^I$.

From the structure constants of the non abelian gauge algebra we also get the gauge transformation of the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Z^I_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi^I + M^I_J \left(\xi^0 Z^J_\mu - \xi^J B_\mu \right) \\ \delta B_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi^0 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and the expression for the vector field-strengths

$$\begin{aligned} F^I_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu Z^I_\nu - \partial_\nu Z^I_\mu + M^I_J \left(Z^J_\mu B_\nu - Z^J_\nu B_\mu \right) \\ B_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu . \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that, because of the gauged translations, a Chern–Simons-like term is present in the $D = 4$ lagrangian [21]

$$\frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} d_{IJK} M^K_L Z^I_\mu Z^L_\nu \partial_\rho Z^J_\sigma . \tag{18}$$

It comes by dimensional reduction of the $D = 5$ Chern–Simons term [11]

$$d_{IJK} A^I \wedge F^J \wedge F^K . \tag{19}$$

Note that $d_{(IJK} M^K_{L)} = 0$ and that the non-abelian contributions vanish identically [11].

In the $D = 6$ case, the graviton multiplet contains a self dual tensor field, while the tensors from the tensor multiplets are anti-self dual. We denote the set of tensor fields as B^r, $r = 0, \dots n_T$, with B^0 pertaining to the graviton multiplet.

In presence of vector multiplets, the vectors (A^x, $x = 1, \dots n_V$) couple to the tensor fields and their interaction term is of the form [16, 17]

$$C_{rxy} B^r \wedge F^x \wedge F^y , \qquad F^x = dA^x ,$$

with C_{rxy}=constant. This term is related by supersymmetry to the kinetic term of the vectors

$$C_{rxy} b^r F^x \wedge {}^* F^y . \tag{20}$$

The fields b^r, $r = 0, \dots n_T$ satisfy the constraint

$$\eta_{rs} b^r b^s = 1,$$

which defines the manifold $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)/\mathrm{SO}(n_T)$. The terms (20) explicitly break the $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)$ symmetry, unless the vector fields A^x transform under some n_V-dimensional representation R_V of $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)$ with the property that $\mathrm{Sym}(R_V \otimes R_V)$ contains the vector representation. In that case, the constants C_{rxy} can be chosen as invariant couplings. This happens, for instance, if R_V is a spinor representation of $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)$. Remarkably, this choice leads after dimensional reduction on S^1 to the real special geometries which are homogeneous (in particular, symmetric) spaces [18, 19, 20, 10].

The reduced 5D theory has a special geometry defined by a cubic polynomial constraint [16]

$$\mathcal{V} = d_{IJK} t^I t^J t^K = 1, \qquad I, J, K = 1, \dots n_T + n_V + 2$$

where

$$\mathcal{V} = 3 \left(z \eta_{rs} b^r b^s + C_{rxy}\, b^r a^x a^y \right) \; ; \quad r = 0, 1, \cdots n_T \; ; \quad x = 1, \cdots n_V. \tag{21}$$

η_{rs} is the $(1, n_T)$ Lorentzian metric related to the space $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)/\mathrm{SO}(n_T)$ (parametrized by b^r), $z = \sqrt{g_{66}} = e^\sigma$ is the KK scalar and $a^x = A^x_6$ are the axions.

Under the above assumption, the SS reduction produces a theory with a flat gauge group of the form $\mathrm{U}(1) \ltimes R_V$, where the U(1) generator is in the Cartan subalgebra (CSA) of the maximal compact subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(n_T)$ of the global symmetry $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)$. The U(1) group is gauged by the vector coming from the metric in dimension six. The tensors are in a vector representation of $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)$, so they are charged under U(1) (except for some singlets as B^0).

We remark that in order to introduce a SS phase in the tensor-vector multiplet sector it is actually sufficient that the constants C_{rxy} preserve a $U(1)$ subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(1, n_T)$, which is a much weaker assumption.

The generator of the group U(1) may also have a component on the isometries of the quaternionic manifold [1]; in particular, it may have a component in the CSA of the SU(2) R-symmetry, then breaking supersymmetry (notice that this can happen even if hypermultiplets are not present, corresponding to a $D = 5$ Fayet-Iliopoulos term). The SS reduction leads to a positive semidefinite potential also in this case. The $D = 5$ interpretation of the theory must correspond to a gauging with the term $V_R = 0$ (see Ref. [22]).

In Ref. [1] this analysis has been applied to all σ-models based on symmetric real and quaternionic geometries. For this case a detailed study of the SS mass spectrum and of the residual moduli space is explicitely performed.

Acknowledgements

The work of S.F. has been supported in part by the D.O.E. grant DE-FG03-91ER40662, Task C, and in part by the European Community's Human Potential Program under contract HPRN-CT-2000-00131 Quantum Space-Time, in association with INFN Frascati National Laboratories.

The work of M. A. Ll. has been supported by the research grant BFM 2002-03681 from the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (Spain) and from EU FEDER funds.

References

[1] L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledo, "Scherk-Schwarz reduction of D = 5 special and quaternionic geometry," *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** (2004) 4677 [arXiv:hep-th/0405164]. L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledo, "No-scale D = 5 supergravity from Scherk-Schwarz reduction of D = 6 theories," *JHEP* **0406** (2004) 018 [arXiv:hep-th/0406018].

[2] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, "How to get masses from extra dimensions", *Nucl. Phys. B* **153** (1979) 61; E. Cremmer, J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, "Spontaneously Broken N=8 Supergravity", *Phys. Lett. B* **84** (1979) 83.

[3] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, "Exceptional supergravity theories and the magic square," *Phys. Lett. B* **133**, 72 (1983); "The geometry of N=2 Maxwell-Einstein supergravity and Jordan algebras," *Nucl. Phys. B* **242**, 244 (1984).

[4] J. Bagger and E. Witten, "Matter couplings in N=2 supergravity ," it Nucl. Phys. B **222** 1 (1983).

[5] L. J. Romans, “Selfduality for interacting fields: covariant field equations for six-dimensional chiral supergravities,” *Nucl. Phys.* B **276** 71 (1986).

[6] For a review, see A. B. Lahanas and D. V. Nanopoulos, “The Road To No Scale Supergravity,” *Phys. Rept.* **145** (1987) 1, and references therein.

[7] For a comprehensive review, see *e.g.* A. R. Frey, “Warped strings: Self-dual flux and contemporary compactifications,” hep-th/0308156.

[8] E. Sezgin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Renormalizability Properties of Spontaneously Broken N=8 Supergravity”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **195** (1982) 325.

[9] J. P. Derendinger, C. Kounnas and F. Zwirner, Nucl. Phys. B **691** (2004) 233 [arXiv:hep-th/0403043].

[10] B. de Wit, F. Vanderseypen and A. Van Proeyen, “Symmetry structure of special geometries,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **400** (1993) 463, hep-th/9210068.

[11] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara, M.A. Lledó, “Gauging of Flat Groups in Four Dimensional Supergravity”, *JHEP* 0207 (2002) 010, hep-th/0203206.

[12] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledo, “Duality and spontaneously broken supergravity in flat backgrounds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **640**, 63 (2002), hep-th/0204145.

[13] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, “Duality twists, orbifolds, and fluxes,” *JHEP* **0309** (2003) 054, hep-th/0210209.

[14] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, “On Lagrangians and gaugings of maximal supergravities,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **655** (2003) 93, hep-th/0212239.

[15] G. Villadoro and F. Zwirner, “The minimal N = 4 no-scale model from generalized dimensional reduction,” *JHEP* **0407** (2004) 055 [arXiv:hep-th/0406185]. G. Villadoro, “N = 6 gauged supergravities from generalized dimensional reduction,” arXiv:hep-th/0407105.

[16] S. Ferrara, R. Minasian and A. Sagnotti, "Low-Energy Analysis of M and F Theories on Calabi-Yau Threefolds," *Nucl. Phys.* B **474** 323 (1996).

[17] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, "New couplings of six-dimensional supergravity," *Nucl. Phys. B* **505** 497 (1997).

[18] D. V. Alekseevskii, " Classification of quaternionic spaces with a transitive solvable group of motions ," *Math. USSR Izvestija* **9** 297 (1975).

[19] S. Cecotti, "Homogeneous Kahler manifolds and T algebras in N=2 supergravity and Superstrings," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **124** 23 (1989).

[20] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, "Special geometry, cubic polynomials and homogeneous quaternionic spaces," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **149** 307 (1992).

[21] B. de Wit, P.G. Lauwers and A. Van Proeyen, "Lagrangians of $N = 2$ Supergravity - Matter Systems", *Nucl. Phys. B* **255** (1985) 569.

[22] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, "Vanishing Potentials In Gauged N=2 Supergravity: An Application Of Jordan Algebras," *Phys. Lett.* B **144** 41 (1984).